

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS**

IN RE: PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY  
AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE  
LITIGATION

MDL NO. 1456

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
  
TRACK TWO SETTLEMENT

CIVIL ACTION: 01-CV-12257-PBS

Judge Patti B. Saris

**PLAINTIFFS' REPONSE TO THE KLINE & SPECTER GROUP'S  
DKT. NO. 7975 FILING**

Even though they do not represent any clients relating to the Track Two Settlement, on December 7, 2011, the four law firms of Kline & Specter, P.C., the Williams Law Firm, the Law Office of Adam Levy and Jennings Haug & Cunningham, LLP (the "K&S Group") filed a Response to Proposed Final Order and Judgment Granting Final Approval to Proposed Track Two Class Action Settlements, Approving Proposed Allocation of Settlement Funds, and Approving Class Counsel's Application for Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Litigation Expenses and Compensation for Class Representatives (Dkt. No. 7975, the "K&S Group Response"). The K&S Group Response objects to the following sentence contained in the Proposed Final Approval Order: "The attorneys' fees and expenses awarded by the Court shall be allocated among Class Counsel by Lead Class Counsel at their discretion." *See* Proposed Order at 13. The sole reason for the objection is that the K&S Group has a motion pending asking the Court to pay it for time and expenses incurred in non-MDL cases that did not benefit the MDL.

The Court should deny the objection. First, as should be obvious, the K&S Group motion is already pending, and the Court will consider it in due course and, presumably, issue a ruling. Thus, there is no risk that the K&S Group demand, however unsupported, will go unaddressed by the Court. And, as contemplated by the Court and discussed at the last hearing, if the Track Two Settlement receives final approval and achieves finality, and if this dispute remains unresolved by that time, Lead Class Counsel can simply escrow the disputed amount of fees until final resolution of the issue.

Second, the K&S Group should not be permitted to otherwise interfere with Lead Class Counsel's ability to allocate attorneys' fees, *as this Court has already expressly authorized*. A similar provision authorizing Lead Class Counsel to allocate attorneys' fees was included in *all* prior approval orders. *See* Dkt. No. 7674 at 9 (BMS Settlement) ("The attorneys' fees and expenses awarded by the Court shall be allocated among present and former Class Counsel by Lead Class Counsel at their discretion."); Dkt. No. 7432 at 9 and Dkt. No. 7433 at 9-10 (AstraZeneca National Settlements) ("The attorneys' fees and expenses awarded by the Court shall be allocated among Class Counsel by Lead Class Counsel pursuant to the Agreement and shall, upon petition, be reviewed on an abuse of discretion standard."); Dkt. No. 5802 at 7 (AstraZeneca Class 1 Settlement) ("The attorneys' fees and expenses awarded by the Court shall be allocated among Class Counsel by Lead Class Counsel at their discretion subject to review by the Court if there is an objection. Class counsel shall file in Court an explanation of the methods of allocating the attorneys' fees and expenses among the firms."); Dkt. No. 4619 at 9 (GSK Settlement) ("Co-Lead Settlement Class Counsel shall allocate fees to themselves and other Plaintiffs Class Counsel in their sole discretion as appropriate."). And the Court has also made clear that it will review any objections to Lead Class Counsel fee allocations on an abuse of

discretion standard. *See* Dec. 10, 2008 Electronic Order. There is no reason to break with the prior practice, specifically and repeatedly authorized by the Court, of having Lead Class Counsel make their fee allocations subject to the Court's review for abuse of discretion if a firm objects to their allocation.

In any event, the K&S Group Response does not relate to the merits of the Track Two Settlement and should not in any way delay the Court's entry of an order granting final approval of the Track Two Settlement.

DATED: December 8, 2011

By /s/ Steve W. Berman  
Thomas M. Sobol (BBO#471770)  
Edward Notargiacomo (BBO#567636)  
Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP  
55 Cambridge Parkway, Suite 301  
Cambridge, MA 02142  
Telephone: (617) 482-3700  
Facsimile: (617) 482-3003

**LIAISON COUNSEL**

Steve W. Berman  
Sean R. Matt  
Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP  
1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300  
Seattle, WA 98101  
Telephone: (206) 623-7292  
Facsimile: (206) 623-0594

Jennifer Fountain Connolly  
Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP  
1629 K St. NW, Suite 300  
Washington, DC 20006  
Telephone: (202) 355-6435  
Facsimile: (202) 355-6455

Jeffrey Kodroff  
John Macoretta  
Spector, Roseman Kodroff & Willis, P.C.  
1818 Market Street, Suite 2500  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
Telephone: (215) 496-0300  
Facsimile: (215) 496-6611

Kenneth A. Wexler  
Wexler Wallace LLP  
55 W. Monroe, Suite 3300  
Chicago, IL 60603  
Telephone: (312) 346-2222  
Facsimile: (312) 346-0022

Marc H. Edelson  
Hoffman & Edelson LLC  
45 West Court Street  
Doylestown, PA 18901  
Telephone: (215) 230-8043  
Facsimile: (215) 230-8735

**CLASS COUNSEL**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I, Steve W. Berman, an attorney, caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing, **PLAINTIFFS' REPONSE TO THE KLINE & SPECTER GROUP'S DKT. NO. 7975 FILING**, to be delivered to all counsel of record by electronic service pursuant to Paragraph 11 of the Case Management Order No. 2, by sending on December 8, 2011, a copy to LexisNexis File & Serve for posting and notification to all parties.

/s/ Steve W. Berman  
Steve W. Berman